

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/138,926 | 08/24/1998 | FRANK C. CESARE | D-6362 | 4707 | |
| 6449 75 | 90 03/24/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. | | | NOLAN, SANDRA M | | |
| 1425 K STREE SUITE 800 | T, N.W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20005 | | 1772 | 1772 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 114_ | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| -1 fr | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 40 | | | |
| | 09/138,926 | CESARE | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Sandra M. Nolan | 1772 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed //s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this commur ID (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D | ecember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 14-28 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No red in this National Stag | ge | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | 2) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/138,926

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

1. Claims 1-28 are pending. The examiner is unable to locate a copy of claims 29-30 in the eDAN file.

Request for Clean Copy of Pending Claims

2. It is asked that applicants send in, with the next response, a clean copy of all pending claims. That copy will facilitate further processing of the application.

Withdrawal of Rejections

- 3. The 35 USC 102 rejection of claims 1-10, 13-22 and 27-30 as anticipated by Meynard (US 3,890,263), as set out in section 5 of the 25 September 2003 office action, is withdrawn in view of applicants' persuasive arguments in the last two paragraphs on page 3 and the first full paragraph on page 4 of the 29 December 2003 response.
- 4. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 11-12 and 23-24 as unpatentable over Meynard in view of Frances (US 4,514,541), as recited in section 8 of the 25 September 2003 office action, is withdrawn in view of applicants' persuasive arguments on page 5 of the 29 December 2003 response.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 14-28 are allowed.
- 6. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest compositions or articles having all of the features recited in claim 14.
- 7. While Toyosawa teaches the terpolymers of claims 14-28, it fails to teach the blending of solid terpolymers of this type with high molecular weight polymers.

Application/Control Number: 09/138,926

Art Unit: 1772

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toyosawa et al (EP 0 699 710 A2).

Toyosawa teaches polymeric compositions containing copolymers containing 1-60% ethylene with propylene and either 1,4-hexadiene or dicyclopentadiene (page 4, lines 16-30). The 1,4-hexadiene and cyclopentadiene are used at 1-15% levels (lines 26-30). The compositions contain glass fibers (page 5, line 16).

The *number* average molecular weight of 20,000 recited at page 4, line 24 of Toyosawa is deemed to render the *viscosity* average molecular weight of applicants' claims inherent, since both measure the same property.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyosawa.

Toyosawa is discussed above. It fails to teach aramid fibers or the amount of reinforcing agent claimed.

In the absence of convincing objective evidence to the contrary, the selection of high strength aramid fibers and the use of these or other reinforcers in amounts that optimize the properties of articles made from Toyosawa's compositions are deemed matters of routine experimentation. <u>In re Peterson</u>, 65 USPQ2d 1379 (Fed.Cir 2003).

Application/Control Number: 09/138,926

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 571/272-1495. She can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolan

Primary Examiner

S. M. Nalm

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 09138926(20040310)